

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/687,575	10/13/2000	Rima Kaddurah-Daouk	AVZ-007CP3	9336	
959	7590 07/29/2002				
LAHIVE & COCKFIELD			EXAMINER		
28 STATE ST BOSTON, M			COVINGTON,	COVINGTON, RAYMOND K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1625		
			DATE MAILED: 07/29/2002	9	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/687,575

Applicant(s)

\_\_\_\_

Kaddurah-Dauk et al

Examiner

**Raymond Covington** 

Art Unit **1625** 

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply					
THE N	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
mailing	- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
- If NO p - Failure - Any rep	e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the stat of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will be to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the apply reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this control by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this control by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this control by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this control by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this control by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this control by the Office later than three months are the office later than three months after the mailing date of this control by the office later than three months after the mail three months are the office later than three months are the office later three months are the office later three months are three	ll expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. lication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/12/02				
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) X This action is	s non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
• • • •	sition of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-63</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-63</u>	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗌	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are a)	$\exists$ accepted or b) $\Box$ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examine				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
	y under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:					
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e)					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
_		Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) Not	_	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Info	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6)	Other:			

Page 2

Art Unit: 1625

Claims 1-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for non-heterocyclic, does not reasonably provide enablement for pyridine inidozole. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to morploline the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. There is insufficient enabling disclosure to support the terms heterocyclyl R.

Claims 1-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The specification does not give any guidance as to how each of the heterocyclic substituted derivatives was prepared. In <u>In re Wands</u>, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (1988), factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure meets the enablement requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, have been described. They are:

- 1. the nature of the invention,
- 2. the state of the prior art,
- 3. the predictability or lack thereof in the art,
- 4. the amount of direction or guidance present,
- 5. the presence or absence of working examples,
- 6. the breadth of the claims,
- 7. the quantity of experimentation needed, and
- 8. the level of the skill in the art.

Art Unit: 1625

In the instant case, Applicants are claiming heterocyclic substituted alkylene diamine derivatives. Applicants have not disclosed any working examples which would demonstrate, or guide, one skilled in the art as to how the heterocyclic substituted derivatives other than pyrole or imidozole, in particular N-heterocyclic derivatives, were prepared or obtained. The process of making the heterocyclic substituted derivatives or how the heterocyclic substituted derivatives were obtained is not readily apparent from the specification. The specification must teach how to make the invention. *In re Gardner*, 166 U.S.P.Q, 138 (1970). In order to practice the claimed invention, one skilled in the art would have speculate how the derivatives were obtained or prepared. Therefore, the instant invention is not enabled. Claims limiting the scope of these terms should overcome this rejection.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Raymond Covington at telephone number (703) 308-4704.

Covington/LR

July 3, 2002

ALAN L. ROTMAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

alan L. Rotman